No. ID/GGN/44/82/32809.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Vijay Pal and the management of M/s Plasto Metal Industries, Delhi Road, Dundahera, Distt Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Co rt, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Vijiy Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/48/82/32816. —Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Autar and the management of M/s Gawar Gum (India) Pvt. Ltd., 12/6, Nizm Pur Road, Narnaul, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab/68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Autar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/134/81/32823—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Amarjit Singh and the management of M/s Bharat Machine Tools, Plot No. 126 Sector 6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Amarjit Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. 1D/HSR/89/81/32830.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists, between the workman Shri Raj Kapoor and the management of The Secretary, Board of School Education, Haryana, Bhiwani, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudications

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted, under section 7 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASG (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab 70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Kapoor was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?